

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LEK DOMNI

Plaintiff

v.

POLICE OFFICER CHRISTOPHER J.
LAMONICA, et al.

Defendants

Civil Action No. 19-cv-083

FILED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

★ APR 10 2024 ★

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

JURY VERDICT FORM

A. Plaintiff's False Arrest Claim

1. Have Officers Lamonica and Trifaro proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that they had probable cause to arrest Plaintiff?

For Officer Lamonica: Yes ☒ No ☐

For Officer Trifaro: Yes ☒ No ☐

Your answers must be unanimous.

B. Plaintiff's Excessive Force Claim


2. Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Officers Lamonica and Trifaro used an unreasonable amount of force to arrest Plaintiff?

For Officer Lamonica: Yes ☐ No ☒

For Officer Trifaro: Yes ☐ No ☒

Your answers must be unanimous.

Proceed to the next claim.



4/10/24 U

C. Plaintiff's Malicious Prosecution Claim

3. Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendants maliciously prosecuted him?

For Officer Lamonica: Yes _____ No ☒

For Officer Trifaro: Yes _____ No ☒

For Detective Howe: Yes _____ No ☒

Your answers must be unanimous.

Proceed to the next claim.

D. Plaintiff's Civil Conspiracy Claims

Answer question 4 only if you found in question 1 that Officers Lamonica or Trifaro falsely arrested Plaintiff

4. Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendants conspired to arrest Plaintiff without probable cause?

For Officer Lamonica: Yes _____ No _____

For Officer Trifaro: Yes _____ No _____

For Detective Howe: Yes _____ No _____

Your answers must be unanimous.

Proceed to the next claim.

Answer question 5 only if you found in question 3 that any Defendant maliciously prosecuted Plaintiff

5. Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendants conspired to maliciously prosecute Plaintiff?

For Officer Lamonica: Yes _____ No _____

For Officer Trifaro: Yes _____ No _____

For Detective Howe: Yes _____ No _____

Your answers must be unanimous.

Proceed to the next section only if you found for Plaintiff on any of his claims.

II. DAMAGES

6. Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) of law committed by the Defendant(s) caused him actual damages?

NA

Yes _____ No _____

If you answered yes to question 6, state the amount of compensatory damages you award Plaintiff:

\$ _____

7. Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation(s) of law committed by the Defendant(s) entitles him to an award of punitive damages?

Yes _____ No _____

If you answered yes to question 7, state the amount of punitive damages you award Plaintiff against each of the Defendants:

For Officer Lamonica: \$ _____

For Officer Trifaro: \$ _____

For Detective Howe: \$ _____